

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/020,701	KWONG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ronald Baum	2136	

All Participants:

(1) Ronald Baum.

(2) Timothy Trop.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 6 February 2007

Time: 16:15

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

n/a

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Called applicant's attorney twice and informed him that a response was past due and the application was going abandoned, and if this wasn't the case, contact the examiner immediately. No response was received.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)